

Risks to the extension of Acer Gardening Services contract (Compiled by CJ CLEGG 170116)

Ser	Hazard	Initial			Mitigation	Residual			Comments
		Severity (1-4)	Likelihood (1-4)	Risk (S x L)		Severity (1-4)	Likelihood (1-4)	Risk (S x L)	
1	Value for money not obtained	2 (Harmful)	2 (Unlikely)	4 (Tolerable)	Acer have offered to freeze the price at the 2013 level.	2 (Harmful)	1 (Highly Unlikely)	3 (Tolerable)	<p>Acer were competitive 3 years ago and have frozen their prices; therefore it is a reasonable assumption to make that they remain competitive.</p> <p>The residual risk is therefore a TOLERABLE one for the Council to bear.</p>
2	Quality of service not maintained	2 (Harmful)	2 (Unlikely)	4 (Tolerable)	<p>Acer have provided a high quality service for 3 years and for more years prior to that.</p> <p>There is no evident reason to assume that the service quality will be affected</p>	2 (Harmful)	1 (Highly Unlikely)	3 (Tolerable)	<p>The fact that Acer's main business is local to Wilberfoss offers some guarantee of quality as the damage to their reputation by failing to fulfil a high-profile contract would be great.</p> <p>The residual risk is therefore a TOLERABLE one for the Council to bear.</p>